## **Children's Services Performance Report 2015/16**



### 01. Progress in delivery of strategic outcomes

### Q2 15/16:

This is the 14th consecutive month in which the rate per 1,000 of Midlothian children on the Child Protection Register has been below the national rate. As previously noted this is as a result of continued collaborative working with Police and Health and a more measured approach to managing risk.

There has been a slight increase in the number of Looked After Children from 262 in June 2015 to 263 in September . 84% of those children and young people are placed away from their parents in foster care, formal kincare or residential care meaning 16% are subject to compulsory supervision order at home. The rate per 1,000 for Midlothian children looked after at home is 2.3 compared with the national rate of 4.0. What does this mean? It means that within Midlothian we are more able to identify and support families at an earlier stage thus reducing the risk of crisis situation arising. This preventative and earlier intervention approach uses the GIRFEC wellbeing indicators to support this work which in turn will help support the implementation of the Named Person in August 2016.

On the 1st October 2015 Children's Services began a review of the entire service, except residential which has just completed their review. There have been two service review consultations with children's services staff where the vast majority of staff attended and shared their views and their vision of the service as we move forward. We are looking to redesign a service that is able to meet the needs and demands of our service users as we progress into a future where there will further reductions in budgets and resources. This is coupled with the hope that we shall be moving to one base where the impact of having everyone together will greatly increase our capacity to identify and problem solve issues at a much earlier stage through more effective face to face communication. This will in turn result in more positive outcomes for service users who will be able to access the most appropriate support at the earliest opportunity. It is also hoped that once located together the Service as a whole will promote further partnership working with our Education, Health and voluntary sector colleagues and promote a more efficient way of working together. One of the outcomes from the Children's Services review is that there needs to be a £300,000 staff saving, this can only be achieved by having the workforce situated together so that there is always a Team Leader around albeit not specifically a workers identified team lead to support staff. We shall be arranging future dates over the next months to consult and discuss with our partner agencies and service users.

### 02. Emerging Challenges and Risks

#### Q2 15/16:

Whilst our overall figures for Child Protection and Looked after at Home and Away from Home remain fairly static and within an acceptable range when comparing with the national average, we continue to have 2 young people in secure accommodation. This is one less than the Q1 however remains above our average number of 1.

Managing risk within our communities and our residential establishments continues to be a challenge. Our residential houses are at capacity with little room for movement. We need to ensure that our planning for the future is robust taking cognisance of our additional duties and responsibilities of caring for young people until the age of 21.

Meeting the demands of new legislation is an ongoing challenge to ensure that as a Local authority we continue to provide not only what our statutory duties require but a service that continues to improve outcomes for all children and young people who require the support of our service.

Sickness absence – This is a priority area which as a service we are addressing to reduce our absence management statistics. Following training, we are endeavouring to provide a consistent yet supportive approach across the service.

# Children's Services PI summary

### 01.1 Outcomes and Customer Feedback

Priority	Indicator	2014/ 15	Q2 2014/ 15	Q1 2015/ 16			Q2 2015/16		Annua I Target	Feeder Data	Value
		Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Note	Short Trend	2015/ 16		
01. Provide an efficient complaints service	Number of complaints received (cumulative)	8	3	0	4		<b>Q2 15/16</b> : There were 3 complaints in Q2.				
01. Provide an efficient	Average time in working days to						<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. There were no stage			Number of complaints complete at Stage 1	0
complaints service	complaints at stage 11.5			?	5	Number of working days for Stage 1 complaints to be Completed	0				
01. Provide an efficient	Average time in working days to respond to complaints at stage 2						<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. There were 3 stage 2			Number of complaints complete at Stage 2	3
complaints service		10.83	8	0	15.67		complaints in Q2 taking 47 days in total to complete.		20	Number of working days for Stage 2 complaints to be Completed	47
01. Provide an	Percentage of						00.45400 These was			Number of complaints complete at Stage 1	0
efficient complaints service	complaints at stage 1 complete within 5 working days	50%		0%	0%	% <b>Q2 15/16</b> : There were no stage 1 complaints completed during Q2.	no stage 1 complaints		95%	Number of complaints at stage 1 responded to within 5 working days	0
efficient complaints	Percentage of						<b>Q2 15/16</b> : Off Target. 1 of the 4 complaints			Number of complaints complete at Stage 2	3
	complaints at stage 2 complete within 20 working days	83.33 %	100%	0%	66.67 %		received required legal guidance and due to the holiday period went over the agreed timescale.		95%	Number of complaints at stage 2 responded to within 20 working days	2

01.2 Making the Best Use of our Resources

Priority	Indicator	2014/ 15	Q2 2014/ 15	Q1 2015/ 16	Q2 2015/16						Value
·		Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Note Shor Trend		2015/ 16		
02. Manage budget effectively	Performance against revenue budget	N/A	N/A	£ 14.86 4	£ 16.39 2		<b>Q2 15/16</b> : Financial information for Q1, 15/16 which was reported to the Council in September 2015		£ 16.75 3		
04. Manage	Average number of working days lost						<b>Q2 15/16</b> : Off Target, although an	•		Number of days lost (cumulative)	796.9
stress and absence	due to sickness absence (cumulative)	12.44	7.13	2.67	5.94		improvement from the same period last year.		8.50	Average number of FTE in service (year to date)	134.19

01.3 Corporate	e Health										
Priority	Indicator	2014/ 15	Q2 2014/ 15	Q1 2015/ 16			Q2 2015/16		Annua I Target	Feeder Data	Value
, i		Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Note	Short Trend	2015/ 16		
05. Complete all	% of service priorities on target /	95.24	90.48							Number of service & corporate priority actions	9
service priorities			90%	Number of service & corporate priority actions on tgt/completed	9						
06. Process	% of invoices paid			Number received (cumulative)	1,413						
invoices efficiently	within 30 days of invoice receipt (cumulative)	96.06 %	96.94 %	96.27 %	95.75 %		Q2 15/16: On Target	-	95%	Number paid within 30 days (cumulative)	1,353
08. Improve PI	% of PIs that are on target/ have	75%	80%	81.82	81.82		Q2 15/16: Off Target.		90%	Number on tgt/ tgt achieved	9
performance	reached their target.	ched their % % 🖌 Z indicators on target.				Number of PI's	11				
09. Control risk	% of high risks that have been reviewed in the last	100%	100%	100%		?	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. There were no risks	?	100%	Number of high risks reviewed in the last quarter	0
	quarter						graded as high.			Number of high risks	0

### 01.4 Improving for the Future

Priority	Indicator	2014/ 15	Q2 2014/ 15	Q1 2015/ 16	Q2 2015/16				Annua I Target		Value
		Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Note	Short Trend	2015/ 16		
11() Implement	% of internal/external audit/BVR actions on target/ completed, of the total.						Q2 15/16: Off Target.			Number of on tgt/ completed actions	0
improvement plans		87.5%	0%	0%	0%		Both audit actions outstanding will be addressed in Q3.		90%	Number of outstanding actions	2

## **Children's Services Action report**



### 03. Service Priorities

Code	Priority	Action	Due Date	lcon	Progress	Comment & Planned Improvement Action
CS.SP.1.1	01. SMP GIRFEC - Deliver services to prevent the need for additional support, or provide it early.	Strengthen the Stage 2 processes to prevent escalation to Stage 3	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. Improved process up and running in almost all primary schools and now establishing with Health colleagues for under 5s.
CS.SP.2.2		Improve the permanence process to reduce the length of time to permanence	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. First phase complete. Consultation has now closed and changes made accordingly. Second phase is now underway to populate new structure. Training and development in place. KPI and performance management information in place.
CS.SP.2.3		Continue to promote the Corporate Parenting programme	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. Application for Life Change Trust through to next level. New Champions Board being launched with opening event 26th October .
CS.SP.2.5	02. SMP GIRFEC - Improve the lives of vulnerable or at risk children and young people	Work to reduce the number of Midlothian children placed outwith Midlothian	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. Continues to be the work of MARG and Gatekeeping. Overview maintained in business managers meetings.
CS.SP.2.6		Ensure our staff are appropriately trained to become a motivated and skilled workforce	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. Children's Services – team learning and development plans in place or being worked on.
CS.SP.2.7		Encourage participation by continuously looking for ways to involve children & young people	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. New Champions Boards will continue to involve Children & Young People in new service delivery and policies.

Code	Priority	Action	Due Date	Icon	Progress	Comment & Planned Improvement Action
CS.SP.2.8	02. SMP GIRFEC - Improve the lives of vulnerable or at risk children and young people	Conduct self-evaluation to improve workforce	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target (50%). Annually inspected Teams carry out annual self evaluation. Childrens Services have undertaken self evaluation of current service and now looking towards service review at how we can improve the service.
CS.SP.3.1	03. SMP GIRFEC Improve the lives of children and young people by putting in place the changes being required by Scottish Government.	Support implementation of the Children and Young People (Scotland) Act 2014	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> :On Target (50%). Plans in place for part 9, 10, 11. Progress via GIRFEC vulnerable children subgroup. Being driven forward at GIRFEC Board.
CS.SP.4.1	04. SMP GIRFEC - Support children and young people to manage risk taking behaviour	Develop a new strategy/policy around risk taking behaviour	31-Mar- 2016		50%	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. Draft policy in place. Progress via GIRFEC vulnerable children subgroup. Also have the Vulnerable Young Peoples Protocol for our high risk young people.

## **Children's Services PI Report**



			2014/15	02 2014/15	Q1 2015/16			02.20	015/16	Annual	Devel
PI Code	Priority	PI	Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Short Trend	Note	Target 2015/16	Benchm rk
CS.SP.2.2a		Average length of time (months) from a child becoming Looked After to recommendation for permanence	6.8	N/A	10	9.7	<b>o</b>	•	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On target (9.7). The average for H1 was 12.27 but a decision was made to remove an outlying long term placement which had taken over three years.	10.5	
CS.SP.2.2b		Average number of weeks between Permanency LAAC Review and date of Registration panel	N/A	N/A	7	8		₽	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target	12	
CS.SP.2.4a	vulnerable or at	% of child	83.33%	N/A	83.33%	83.33%			<b>Q2 15/16</b> :Off Target. 5 out of 6 indicators met their 85% target. However, the % of Core Group meetings held within 15 days for Initial was 82%	84%	Benchma rk 100%
CS.SP.2.5a	risk children and young people	Number of young people in secure, residential placements and foster placements outwith the authority	49	47	55	57	•	₽	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : Off target.	44	Mar 14: 49; Mar 13: 57
CS.SP.2.7a		Corporate Parenting Board to implement new Champions' Scheme by October 2015	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	<b></b>	-	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : Launch event scheduled for 26th October.	Yes	

	<b></b>		2014/15	Q2 2014/15	Q1 2015/16			Q2 20	015/16	Annual	Benchma
PI Code	Priority	PI	Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Short Trend	Note	Target 2015/16	rk
CS.SP.2.8a		Self evaluation theme carried out at Operational Managers Meeting annually	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	<b>&gt;</b>	-	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : On Target. Review of Children's service currently underway. 50% of staff have been offered 1-2-1 time with Project manager. A survey monkey has also been offered to all staff for feedback.	Yes	
CS.SP.4.1a	04. SMP GIRFEC - Support children	Total number of bed nights for children and young people in secure care	N/A	N/A	246	236	-	₽	<b>Q2 15/16</b> : Data from this years collection will be used to develop future targets.		
CS.SP.4.1b	and young people to manage risk taking behaviour	Report on Life Path analysis for 2 children/young people (one in secure) to be published by summer 2015	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	<b>②</b>	-	<b>Q2 15/16</b> :On Target. Work is underway; learning will be shared with relevant staff.	Yes	

04. LUCAI GUV	ernment Benchma	INITY FIAITEWORK									
DI Os da	Dui e vite e		2014/15	Q2 2014/15	Q1 2015/16			Q2 20	015/16	Annual	Benchma
PI Code	Priority	PI	Value	Value	Value	Value	Status	Short Trend	Note	Target 2015/16	rk
CHN8a		The Gross Cost of "Children Looked After" in Residential Based Services per Child per Week	N/A	N/A	N/A	Annual Indic	cator – will b	be reported ir	n Q3		2013/14 Rank 7; Scotland: £3098, Midlothia n £2465
CHN8b		The Gross Cost of "Children Looked After" in a Community Setting per Child per Week		N/A	N/A	Annual Indic	cator – will b	be reported ir	n Q3		2013/14 Rank 20; Scotland £265, Midlothia n £250
CHN9		Balance of Care for looked after children: % of children being looked after in the Community	N/A	N/A	N/A	Annual Indic	ator – will b	be reported ir	n Q3		2013/14 Rank 9; Scotland 91%, Midlothia n 92%.

04. Local Government Benchmarking Framework